



## **Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board**

### Revised

Notice of Public Meeting Thursday, November 8, 2012 8:00 a.m.

# Revised Meeting Location Change:

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (Board Room)
700 North Alameda Street
Los Angeles, California 90071

### Agenda

The Los Angeles Regional Board strives to conduct an accessible, orderly, and fair meeting. The Chair of the Board will conduct the meeting and establish appropriate rules and time limitations for each agenda item. The Board will only act on items designated as action items. Action items on the agenda are staff proposals, and may be modified by the Board as a result of public comment or Board member input. Additional information about Board meeting procedures is included after the last agenda item.

Generally, the Board accepts oral comments at the meeting on agenda items and accepts written materials regarding agenda items in advance of the meeting. For some items requiring public hearings, written materials and oral comments will be accepted only according to the procedures set forth in a previously issued public notice for the particular agenda item. To ensure a fair hearing and that the Board Members have an opportunity to fully study and consider written material, unless stated otherwise, written materials must be provided to the Executive Officer not later than 5:00 p.m. on October 29, 2012. Please consult the agenda item description because certain items may have an earlier deadline for written submissions. If you are considering submitting written materials, please consult the notes at the end of the agenda. Failure to follow the required procedures may result in your materials being excluded from the hearing record; however, failure to timely submit written materials does not preclude a person from testifying before the Board.

### INTRODUCTORY ITEMS

- 1. Roll Call.
- 2. **Order of Agenda.** Note that the agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and may not necessarily be considered in this order.
- 3. Approval of draft meeting minutes for the September 14, 2012, and October 4-5, 2012 Board meetings. [Ronji Moffett, (213) 576-6612]

MARIA MEHRANIAN, CHAIR | SAMUEL UNGER, EXECUTIVE OFFICER

#### 4. Board Member Communications.

- 4.a. Ex Parte Disclosure. Board Members will identify any discussions they may have had requiring disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 11430.40.
- 4.b. Board Member Reports. The Board Members may discuss communications, correspondence, or other items of general interest relating to matters within the Board's jurisdiction.
- 5. **Update from State Board.** [Fran Spivy-Weber, (916) 341-5607]

### **UNCONTESTED ACTION ITEMS**

(Items marked with an asterisk are expected to be routine and noncontroversial. The Board will be asked to approve these items at one time without discussion. Any Board member or person may request that an item be removed from the uncontested calendar. Items removed from the Consent calendar will be heard at a future meeting.)

# Waste Discharge Requirements that Serve as NPDES Permits Amendment-

\*6. Consideration of tentative amendment to the Waste Discharge Requirements for TFX Aviation, Inc. (Former Terlair Site), Newbury Park; NPDES No. CA0064599. (Comment submittal deadline was September 27, 2012) [Rosario Aston, (213) 576-6653]

### **Amendment and Time Schedule Order-**

- \*7. Consideration of tentative amendment to the Waste Discharge Requirements and tentative Time Schedule Order for Donald T. Sterling Corporation (Sterling Ambassador Towers) Los Angeles; NPDES No. CA0053091 (Comment submittal deadline was October 4, 2012) [Rosario Aston, (213) 576-6653]
  - 7.1 Waste Discharge Requirements
  - 7.2 Time Schedule Order

# Non-NPDES Waste Discharge Requirements

### Revision-

\*8. Montebello Land and Water Inert Waste Landfill, Montebello; Order No. 97-066, File No. 70-029. (Comment submittal deadline was September 18, 2012) [Enrique Casas, (213) 620-2299]

# **CONTESTED ACTION ITEMS**

# NPDES Permit for Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Discharges within the Coastal Watersheds of Los Angeles County, with the exception of discharges originating from the City of Long Beach Renewal-

9. Continuation of public hearing for consideration of the revised tentative National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit for Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) discharges within the Coastal Watersheds of Los Angeles County, with the exception of discharges originating from the City of Long Beach, NPDES No. CAS004001 (Revised Tentative Order). No new written materials may be submitted. Parties and interested persons will have the opportunity to address the Regional Board

on the Revised Tentative Order as provided in the Notice of Opportunity for Public Comment and Notice of Adoption Meeting dated October 18, 2012. The Board will accept oral comments only with respect to the revisions made since June 6, 2012, as reflected in track changes format in the Revised Tentative Order circulated on October 18, 2012. The Regional Board may adopt, modify, or deny the Revised Tentative Order, or continue the hearing to a later Board meeting. (*Written comments were due by noon on July 23, 2012*.) [Ivar Ridgeway, (213) 620-2150]

# **CLOSED SESSION**

- 10. As authorized by Government Code section 11126, the Regional Board will be meeting in closed session. Closed session items are not open to the public. Items the Board may discuss include the following: [Jennifer Fordyce (JF) (916) 324-6682; Frances McChesney (FM), (916) 341-5174; Nicole Johnson (NJ) (916) 322-4142]
  - 10.1 State Department of Finance, State Water Resources Control Board and Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board v. Commission on State Mandates, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BS130730. [Challenging the Commission's decision that portions of the LA MS4 permit created unfunded state mandates]. (JF)
  - 10.2 In re: Halaco Engineering Company, United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California, Northern Division, No. ND-02-1255 RR [Regarding a CDO and CAO at the Oxnard Property]. (JF)
  - 10.3 In re: Los Angeles Region Water Permit Ventura County, Commission on State Mandate Test Claim No. 110-TC-01 [Regarding a test claim filed by Ventura County Watershed Protection District and the County of Ventura alleging that portions of Order No. R4-2010-0108 created an unfunded state mandate]. (JF)
  - 10.4 In re: Petition of City of Redondo Beach for Review of Administrative Civil Liability Order No. R4-2008-0058-M, SWRCB/OCC File A-2124 [Challenging assessment of mandatory minimum penalties for violations of Order Nos. 99-057 and R4-2005-0016]. (FM)
  - 10.5 In re: Petition of Signal hill, Downey, et al, for Review of Order No. R4-2009-0130, SWRCB/OCC File A-2071 [Challenging the incorporation into the MS4 Permit of the Waste Load Allocations from the Los Angeles River Watershed Trash TMDL.] (JF)
  - 10.6 In re: Kinder Morgan, Inc., Chevron Corp., et al for Review of Revised Cleanup and Abatement Order No. R4-2008-0006, SWRCB/OCC File A-2085 [Challenging the revised cleanup goals in the order]. (FM)
  - 10.7 In re: Upper Santa Clara River Chloride Total Maximum Daily Load Requirements Imposed by the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board in Resolution R40-2008-0012. Commission on State Mandates Test Claim No. 10-TC-09 [Regarding a test claim filed by the Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County alleging that portions of Resolution R4-2008-0012 created an unfunded state mandate]. (JF)
  - 10.8 Joan C. Lavine v. State Water Resources Control Board and Los Angeles Regional Board, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BS128989 [Challenging the Basin Plan Amendment prohibiting on-site wastewater disposal systems in the Malibu Civic Center area]. (FM)
  - 10.9 Charles Conway et al. v. State Water Resources Control Board and Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, Ventura County Superior Court Case No.

- 56-2011-00399391-CU-WM-VTA [Challenging the McGrath Lake TMDL for polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), pesticides, and sediment toxicity]. (JF)
- 10.10 In re: Petition of Santa Monica Baykeeper and Heal the Bay, SWRCB/OCC File A-2175 [Challenging the Memorandum of Understanding between the City of Malibu, the Los Angeles Regional Board, and the State Water Resources Control Board regarding phased implementation of the Basin Plan amendment prohibiting on-site wastewater disposal systems in the Malibu Civic Center area]. (FM)
- 10.11 Green Acres, LLC v. Los Angeles regional Water Quality Control Board and State Water Resources Control Board, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BS138872 [Challenging the Basin Plan Amendment prohibiting on-site wastewater disposal systems in the Malibu Civic Center area]. (FM)
- 10.12 Valetor, Inc. et al. v. Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BS138361 [Challenging Cleanup and Abatement Order No. R4-2011-0183 and associated Notice of Violation] (NJ)
- 10.13 Consultation with counsel about:
  - (a) A judicial or administrative adjudicatory proceeding that has been formally initiated to which the Regional Board is a party;
  - (b) A matter that, based on existing facts and circumstances, presents significant exposure to litigation against the Regional Board; or
  - (c) A matter which, based on existing facts and circumstances, the Regional Board is deciding whether to initiate litigation. (JF/FM/NJ)
- 10.14 Consideration of the appointment, employment, or evaluation of performance about a public employee. (JF/FM/NJ)
- 11. **Adjournment of current meeting.** The next regular meeting of the Board will be held on December 6, 2012 at City of Simi Valley Council Chambers, 2929 Tapo Canyon Road, Simi Valley, CA 93063.

\*\*

Ex Parte Communications: An ex parte communication is a communication to a board member from any person, about a pending matter, that occurs in the absence of other parties and without notice and opportunity for them to respond. The California Government Code prohibits the board members from engaging in ex parte communications during permitting, enforcement, and other "quasi-adjudicatory" matters. The Regional Board discourages ex parte communications during rulemaking and other "quasi-legislative" proceedings. The ex parte rules are intended to provide fairness, and to ensure that the board's decisions are transparent, based on the evidence in the administrative record, and that evidence is used only if stakeholders have had the opportunity to hear and respond to it. Ex parte rules do not prevent anyone from providing information to the water boards or requesting that the water boards take a particular action. They simply require that the information come into the record through proper channels during a duly noticed, public meeting. A board member who has engaged or been engaged in a prohibited ex parte communication will be required to publicly disclose the communication on the record and may be disqualified from participating in the proceeding. For more information, please look at the ex parte questions and answers document found at www.waterboards.ca.gov/laws regulations/docs/exparte.pdf

**Procedures:** The Regional Board follows procedures established by the State Water Resources Control Board. These procedures are established in regulations commencing with

section 647 of title 23 of the California Code of Regulations. The Chair may establish specific procedures for each item, and consistent with section 648, subdivision (d) of title 23 of the California Code of Regulations may waive nonstatutory provisions of the regulations. Generally, all witnesses testifying before the Regional Board must affirm the truth of their testimony and are subject to questioning by the Board Members. The Board does not, generally, require the designation of parties, the prior identification of witnesses, or the cross examination of witnesses. Generally, speakers are allowed three minutes for comments. Any requests for an alternate hearing process, such as requesting additional time to make a presentation, should be made to the Executive Officer in advance of the meeting, and under no circumstances later than 5:00 p.m. on the Thursday preceding the Board meeting. The provisions of this paragraph shall be deemed superseded to the extent that they are contradicted by a hearing notice specific to a particular agenda item.

\*\*\*

**Written Submissions:** Written materials (whether hand-delivered, mailed, e-mailed, or facsimiled) *must be received prior to the relevant deadline* established in the agenda and public notice for an item. If the submitted material is more than 10 pages or contains foldouts, color graphics, maps, or similar items, 12 copies must be submitted prior to the relevant deadline.

Failure to comply with requirements for written submissions is grounds for the Chair to refuse to admit the proposed written comment or exhibit into evidence. (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 23, § 648.4(e).) The Chair may refuse to admit written testimony into evidence unless the proponent can demonstrate why he or she was unable to submit the material on time or that compliance with the deadline would otherwise create a hardship. In an adjudicatory matter, where there is a showing of prejudice to any party or the Board from admission of the written testimony, the Chair may refuse to admit it.

\*\*\*

**Administrative Record:** Material presented to the Board as part of testimony that is to be made part of the record must be left with the Board. This includes photographs, slides, charts, diagrams, etc. All Board files pertaining to the items on this Agenda are hereby made a part of the record submitted to the Regional Board by staff for its consideration prior to action on the related items.

\*\*\*

**Accessibility:** Individuals requiring special accommodations or language needs should contact Dolores Renick at (213) 576-6629 or <a href="mailto:drenick@waterboards.ca.gov">drenick@waterboards.ca.gov</a> at least ten working days prior to the meeting. TTY/TDD Speech-to-Speech users may dial 7-1-1 for the California Relay Service.

\*\*\*

**Availability of Complete Agenda Package:** A copy of the complete agenda package is available for examination at the Regional Board Office during regular working hours (8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday) beginning 10 days before the Board meeting. Questions about specific items on the agenda should be directed to the staff person whose name is listed with the item.

\*\*\*

**Continuance of Items:** The Board will endeavor to consider all matters listed on this agenda. However, time may not allow the Board to hear all matters listed. Matters not heard at this meeting may be carried over to the next Board meeting or to a future Board meeting. Parties will be notified in writing of the rescheduling of their item. Please contact the Regional Board staff to find out about rescheduled items.

\*\*\*

**Challenging Regional Board Actions:** Pursuant to Water Code section 13320, any aggrieved person may file a petition to seek review by the State Water Resources Control Board of most actions taken by the Regional Board. A petition must be filed within 30 days of the action. Petitions must be sent to State Water Resources Control Board, Office of Chief Counsel; ATTN: Phil Wyels, Assistant Chief Counsel; 1001 "I" Street, 22nd Floor; Sacramento, CA 95814.